Cover Letter Rubric

100 POINTS

Name Member Number
Chapter State

Indicator	Very strong evidence of skill 5–4 points	Moderate evidence of skill 3–2 points	Weak evidence of skill 1-0 points	Points Earned	Weight	Total Points
Format and General Appearance	margins are acceptable; font size and style are readable (10–12 pt); uses appropriate business format, date and address at top; addressed to appropriate person;	Does not exceed one page without overcrowding; margins are acceptable; font size and style are readable (10–12 pt); uses appropriate business format, date and address at top; not addressed to appropriate person; inappropriate signature block	Exceeds one page; margins are inappropriate; font style is unreadable; font size is too small or too large; no signature; no date or address; no inside address; not in appropriate business format		X 4	
Introductory Paragraph	Identifies position they are applying for; states how they heard about the position; states why they are interested in the position; uses wording to attract reader's attention	Identifies position they are applying for; does not state how they found the job; vaguely describes why they are interested in the job; introduction is bland and not attention catching	Does not clearly identify position they are seeking; no description of how you heard about the position; does not grab the reader's attention		X4	
Skills and Experiences	them for this job; states why you are interested in the position; skills and	Identifies one to two qualifications for the job; indicates how education has prepared them for this job; provides a vague explanation of why interested in the job; skills and experiences are somewhat consistent with resume; makes reference to resume	Does not identify relevant qualifications for the job; does not indicate how education has prepared them for this job; does not state why they are interested in the job; skills and experiences are not consistent with resume; does not mention resume		X4	
Closing Paragraph	Thanks reader for taking time to read; provides appropriate contact information; makes appropriate provisions for follow up	Thanks reader for taking time to read; provides contact information, but leaves reader to pursue a follow up	Does not thank reader; does not mention a plan for follow up; does not provide any contact information		X3	
Spelling/ Grammar/ Punctuation		Spelling, grammar and punctuation are adequate with three to five errors in the document	Spelling, grammar, and punctuation are less than adequate with six or more errors in the document		X5	

Resume Rubric

200 POINTS

Name Member Number

Chapter State

Indicator	Very strong evidence of skill 5–4 points	Moderate evidence of skill 3–2 points	Weak evidence of skill 1–0 points	Points Earned	Weight	Total Points
Contact Information	number; name stands out	Name does not stand out; email address is too	Missing name, address, email address or phone number; email used is inappropriate or unprofessional		X 2	
Employment Objective	Focused objective that states how employee will help company achieve its goals	Focused objective that states what employee wants from the company	No objective identified		X2	
Education or Relevant Coursework	Contains complete information (listed in reverse chronological order) with relevant courses listed; dates formatted correctly; GPA listed in correct format (if appropriate), includes appropriate honors and awards	Contains information (listed in reverse chronological order) with relevant courses listed; dates formatted correctly, may show gaps in work history; inappropriate GPA listed, includes appropriate honors and awards	Information not listed in reverse chronological order; important information missing; information not listed in correct format		X 7	
Relevant Experience and Skills	Entries are listed in reverse chronological order; company name, title, location and dates are included; strong action verbs used with correct verb tense; personal pronouns and extraneous words are omitted; bullets are concise, direct and indicate one's impact/accomplishments; results are quantified; bullets are listed in order of importance	Entries are listed in reverse chronological order; entries have a pattern of one type of error; action verbs are weak; verb tenses are inconsistent; bullets are not concise or direct and do not indicate impact; bullets are written in complete sentences	Entries are not in reverse chronological order; most entries do not include company name, dates, location or position title; bullets are written in complete sentences; verb tenses are inconsistent; bullets are wordy, vague or do not indicate one's impact; bullets are not listed in order or importance to the reader; results are not quantified when appropriate; irrelevant or outdated information is listed		Х9	

			Reviseu. J	a		
Achievements and Honors	Appropriate and relevant achievements and honors listed; achievements and honors related to career goal; provides specific details related to achievements and honors; listed in reverse chronological order	Appropriate and relevant achievements and honors listed; achievements and honors related to career goal; lacks specific details related to achievements and honors; listed in reverse chronological order	Achievements and honors not listed in reverse chronological order; inappropriate or irrelevant achievements listed; no achievement or honors are listed		X5	
References	Listed appropriate references and provided complete contact information for references	References are listed, but not all are appropriate or not all contact information for references is included	Inappropriate references are listed; no references listed; no contact information listed		X2	
Spelling/ Grammar/ Punctuation	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are extremely high quality with two or less errors in the document	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are adequate with three to five errors in the document	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are less than adequate with six or more errors in the document		X5	
Format and General Appearance	Does not exceed two pages without overcrowding; margins are acceptable; font size and style are readable (10–12 point); headings reflect content and content substantiates headings; resume is targeted to job	Does not exceed two pages; appears overcrowded; margins are acceptable; font size and style are readable (10–12 point); headings don't necessarily reflect content and content substantiates headings; resume is targeted to job	Exceeds two pages; margins are inappropriate; font style is unreadable; font size is too small or too large		X8	

Electronic Employment Application Rubric

100 POINTS

Name	Member Numbe	r
Chapter	State	

Indicator	Very strong evidence of skill 5–4 points	Moderate evidence of skill 3–2 points	Weak evidence of skill 1-0 points	Points Earned	Weight	Total Points
Consistent with Resume	Name, education, experience and other personal information matches information provided on resume	Name, education, experience and other personal information generally matches information provided on resume	Name, education, experience and other personal information do not match information provided on resume.		X4	
Grammar/ Punctuation/ Spelling	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are extremely high quality with two or less errors in the document.	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are adequate with three to five errors in the document.	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are less than adequate with six or more errors in the document		X6	
Form Completed	Entire application was completed with "N/A" indicated where appropriate	Majority of the application was completed with few blank fields	Several blank spaces and missing information		X4	
Overall Impression	Application was consistent and appropriately highlighted candidates' qualifications for the position	Application was consistent and generally highlighted candidates' qualifications for the position	The application was not consistent and did not highlight candidates' qualifications for the position		X6	

Personal Interview Rubric

500 POINTS

Name Member Number

Chapter State

Indicator	Very strong evidence of skill 5-4 points	Moderate evidence of skill 3–2 points	Weak evidence of skill 1–0 points	Points Earned	Weight	Total Points
Appearance	Professional dress/groomed: Follows standard dress code, polished shoes, clothes pressed, conservative accessories	Dress appropriate: Just not as professional and "put together", shoes clean, but not polished	Very disheveled: Dirty shoes, not wearing black shoes		x 10	
First Impression	Greeting: Appropriate salutation and firm handshake Introduction: States name Body language: Smiling and pleasant, does not sit until invited, confident in manner	Greeting: Confident but uneasy, soft handshake Introduction: States name only when asked Body language: Rarely smiles, cologne or perfume is distracting	Greeting: Does not use salutation, very informal Introduction: Fails to introduce self, fails to shake hands with interviewer Body language: Obnoxious cologne or perfume, chewing gum		x 15	
Response to Questions	Used appropriate language for career: Cited relevant examples; knowledge of career field evident (talk the talk); knows education and experience required for position; discussed skills gained through school or past jobs and how they are relevant to position applied; abilities described match the resume; responses concise and logically communicated; responses do not sound "canned"; provided indepth description of skills, not just a list; provided indepth response to questions, not yes/no responses to questions; established a "theme" that overall describes their abilities	Seemed to know terms associated with career: Some holes, cited several relevant examples; but list is incomplete, knew about career, but conveyed incomplete picture; unsure of education or experience required for position; incomplete list of skills gained through school and past jobs and relevance to position applied; abilities mostly match resume; responses seemed rehearsed and somewhat disorganized; provided some depth to description of job skills; provided some depth to responses to questions; provided some yes/no responses; was able to tie some abilities together to form a picture of qualifications.	Knew some of the language of position, but used incorrectly or did not show understanding of terms: Unable to cite or provided few relevant examples; position education and requirements not known or does not match applicants skill set; unable to relate skills learned in school or past jobs and relevance to position applied; abilities hardly match resume; responses seemed "canned" with little logical progression; mainly provided list of skills with little explanation; provided yes/no responses; unable to see an overall theme of persons' abilities		x 30	
Communicati on Skills	Persuasive: Led the interview in a direction that enabled them to expand so their skills were expressed, took initiative to add information beyond	Persuasive: Expanded somewhat on skills that are a fit for the position, volunteered some additional information to questions asked.	Persuasive: Answered yes or no to most questions; did not expand on skill set Confident: Did not appear comfortable, nervous, slouched in chair		x 30	

			Revised: Ju	110. 2022		
Indicator	Very strong evidence of skill 5–4 points	Moderate evidence of skill 3–2 points	Weak evidence of skill 1–0 points	Points Earned	Weight	Total Points
	question asked. Confident: Exhibited self-confidence with body language and verbally Appropriate volume: Spoke with proper volume for room to hear clearly; not too loud, not too soft Enunciation/grammar: Avoided words like "git" versus "get" and "agin" versus "again"; used proper words when speaking (didn't use 10-dollar words when a five-dollar word would do) Concise: Avoided run-on sentences and answered with logical and organized thoughts Sincere: Expressed true interest in the position they were seeking Poise: Avoids distracting mannerisms, such as drumming fingers or overuse of "um" and "you know" Discretion/Tact: Shared appropriate information and did not create an awkward situation through responses	Confident: Exhibited some nervousness, but covered well; voice and body language showed some uncertainty Appropriate volume: Did not modulate volume to express answers, could hear sometimes; but was quiet when unsure of response and hard to hear Enunciation/grammar: Some language not appropriate for position applied, used some slang and exhibited some "dialect" Concise: Some questions answered in a rambling fashion, but point made; thoughts were logical but somewhat disorganized Poise: Seemed comfortable with some nervousness, caught self before exhibiting distracting mannerisms, rarely used "um" or "you know" Discretion/tact: Most professional in tone and shared information that created little, if any, awkwardness	Appropriate volume: Hard to hear answers or volume too loud for room Enunciation/ grammar: Used overly complex or simplistic language, sprinkled in words like "git" versus "get" and "agin" versus "again" Concise: Rambled and used run on sentences; answers were poorly organized and thoughts not clearly expressed Sincere: Seemed uninterested in the position and distracted Poise: Demonstrated distracted mannerisms such as tapping foot, drumming fingers, cracking knuckles, etc.; Excessive use of "um" and "you know" Discretion/tact: Shared information that may be seen as personal about someone else creating awkwardness, appeared unprofessional			
Conclusion	Posed appropriate questions of interviewer: e.g., when notification of selection will occur and how. Clarified next steps, inquired as to next step in interview process e.g., if there will be additional interviews, etc. Appropriate thanks and exit: Asked for business card, thanked interviewer, stood and shook hands prior to exiting room	Questions posed were somewhat appropriate: Some had no relevance to interview; incomplete inquiry of the next steps in the interview process; asked for business card, thanked interviewer and shook hand, but seemed uncertain how to end the interview and exit	Asks no questions: Questions asked (if asked) have no relevance to next steps in the interview process; ended interview abruptly or awkwardly; exited without thanks or shaking hands		x 15	

Follow-Up Correspondence Rubric

50 POINTS

Name		Member Number
Chapter	State	

Indicator	Very strong evidence of skill 5–4 points	Moderate evidence of skill 3–2 points	Weak evidence of skill 1–0 points	Points Earned	Weight	Total Score
Format	The document was directed to the appropriate person with an appropriate address and salutation. The level of formality was appropriate for the type of correspondence.	appropriate person with an appropriate address and salutation with minor errors. The level of formality was generally	The document was not directed to the appropriate person. No address or salutation was included. The level of formality was not appropriate.		X 2	
Content	Effectively expressed appreciation and appropriately reiterated their qualities; expressed interest and appropriately stated provisions for follow-	Attempted to express appreciation and generally reiterated their qualities; generally expressed interest and attempted to state provisions for follow-	Did not attempt to express appreciation; did not attempt to reiterate their qualities; did not attempt to express interest or state provisions for follow-up		X3	
Grammar/ Punctuation/ Spelling	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are extremely high quality with two or less errors in the document.	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are adequate with three to five errors in the document.	Spelling, grammar and punctuation are less than adequate with six or more errors in the document.		X2	
Overall Impression	Writing (when appropriate) was legible and length was appropriate	appropriate) was difficult to read and length was	Writing (when appropriate) was illegible; length was inappropriate		X3	